19~~48~~ – 50 **File No.** 1445/36.

**B CLASS** P.W. **DEPARTMENT**

C. **BRANCH**

---

**Subject:—** Leave
Kale, Shri C. G., I. S. E.
late Ch. Engr. P. W. D.
Counting of -- towards
Pension

III.

**File No.** 1445/36

G.R., G.D., No. 2408, dated 22-6-22.]

Correspondence

# DOCKET SHEET (B)

*Subject :—*

**File No.** 1445/36

P.W. **DEPT.**

E. **BRANCH.**

*References :—*

| Date. | To whom sent. | Notes and instructions. |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

P. & S. D.

Mr. Kale's representation may be passed on u.o. to P.W.D. for further necessary action as he was an officer under its administrative control and it is the department which controls the I.S.E. cader.

Id/-
15/12/48

A.S. Id/-
16/12

Mr. kale is eligible for the benefit of counting part of his privilege leave (i.e. 6 months) on average pay towards pension, according to Government of India(Finance Department) Circular No.F.44(29)-W/44, dated 15-6-1945, addressed to all Provincial Governments and offivers concerned. The history of Mr. Kale's case is given here below:- Secy. (R & B) Secy. F. D.

(1) Extension of his service as Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government, after the date of his superannuation, by 3 months and 10 days. (Ch. Secy.; H.M. P.W.D, 4.p)

(2) Re-employment as a temporary Chief Engineer, P.W.D. on contract for 3 years with effect from 15th January 1946.

(3) He was allowed to avail himself of 28 month's leave (leave on average pay for 8 months combined with leave on half average pay for 20 months) at the termination of the agreement (entered into at the time of appointing him as a temporary Chief Engineer) or at the end of his service, if they were terminated earlier.

While forwarding the proposal about the concession (3), to Government of India my prodecessor Mr. Garrod categorically informed Govenment of India that Mr. Kale will not derive any pensionary benefit from the post contract leave (vide please para. 2 of this Government letter No.1445/36-E(I) dated 13-1-1947). Had it not been for that statement in this letter Mr. Kale would have been entitled to this benefit for counting 6 months for the purpose of pension. On a previous representation made by Mr. Kale, this Government did not consider it advisable to press Mr. Kale's case to Government of India, and informed Mr. Kale that his request for counting 6 months of his leave for the purpose of pension could not be granted (vide Government Letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated 9-2-1948.

It is for orders whether the question should be reconsidered and the Government of India addressed in the matter.

Id/-
1-2-

The statement referred to at 'A' in p. 30 was not in the nature of an ananthrisco interpretation by P.W.D. or by Mr. Garrod. It was passed on F.D., nothing on pp.163-164 of P.W.D. file 1445/36 I, later

later.....

including F.A.'s note of 22-8-46, which in turn took with account of communication further Secy. of State.

Would F.A. pl review those and latter proceedings and advise?

" Id/-
6-2

F. A.

In its letter No.F,44(29)-W/44, dated 15-6-1945 the Govt. of India, Finance Deptt; communicated to us details regarding certain concessions which the Secy. of State had sanctioned to his officers who were reaching or had reached the age of compulsory retirement and who in the interests of public service - were prevented from enjoying the leave that they would in the ordinary circumstances have enjoyed before retirement. One of the concessions referred to the taking of leave up to a maxium of 28 months after the date of superannuation. Another - which is relevant to the question raised in these papers - referred to counting of a protion of such leave (maximum of six months) as qualifying services for pension and (maximum of four mnths) as effective service for special additional pension. Mr. Kale who was a member of I.S.E. reached the age of complsory retirement on 5-10-1945 but was granted extension of service till 15-1-1946. It is quite obvious that had Mr. Kale quitted service from 16-1-1946 (i.e. had he not accpted reemployment) he would have been in a position to enjoy the concession at 'B' and 'A' above. But from 16-1-1946 Mr. Kale was re-employed (on a contract basis) and therefore, both the concessions, strictly speaking, lapsed. It was rightly held that the concessions could have been given to Mr. Kale had he continued to be a member of the I.S.E. But by accepting retirement and re-employment he forfeited the concessions. Since, however, he was being re/employed in the interests of public service, we pressed the Secy. of State to allow him the concession at (B) above. The concession would not be of

of.....

course be given to him as a Secy. of State officer ( he had ceased to be one from 16-1-1946) but it was decided to grant him the concession. The reason why we did not grant him the concession at (A) above was in my opinion, due to a misreading of F.D.'s and A.G.'s advice. What the A.G. and F.D. intended was that the concession at 'A' would not be available to Mr. Kale as a Secy. of State's officer any more than the concession at 'B' would have been available to him as a Secy. of State's officer. But it was not realised that (if he could be given as a special case, the concession at 'B'), it was quite logical to give him the concession at 'A' also. On a closer reading of para 5 of the A.G.'s report dated 15-10-45, para 1 of Mr. Santos's note dated 13-11-1945, and the concluding portion of Mr. Damle's note dated 3-6-1947 it will be seen that there was no objection as such to Mr. Kale getting the pensionary beflefit but to its being given without the consent of the Secy. of State.

2. For a clear understanding of the point at issue the follwing may read:-

(1) Para 8 of the Govt. of India letter dated 15-6-1945 on p. 20 of P.W.D. file S.90-1/638

(2) Para 3(ii) of the P.W.D. note dated 9-10-45 on p. 25 of the same file

(3) Para 5 of the A.G.'s u.o.r. on p. 26 of the same file.

(4) Portion X of Mr. Santos's note dated 22-8-1946 on p. 29 of the same file.

(5) Para 2 of Mr. Santos's note dated 22-8-1946 on p. 164, of P.W.D. file 1445/36

(6) Letter No. 1445/36-E(I) dated 13-1-1947 from P.W.D. to Govt. of India p. 185 of P.W.D. file 1445/36

(7) Letter from Govt. of India No.F9(13)-E-II/17 dt. 26-6-47 on p. 207 of P.W.D. file 1445/36

(8) F.D.office note dated 30-5-47 and Mr. Damle's not dated 3-6-47 on pp.193-195 of P.W.D. file 1445/36

(9) F.D.office note Dated 12-9-47 followed by Mr. Damle's note dated 4-10-47 and Mr. Mathrani's note dated 23-11-47 on pp.210-212 of P.W.D. file 1445/36

(10) Secy. P.W.D.'s note dated 19-11-47 on p.215 of P.W.D. file 1445/36

3. It will be seen that there is no doubt that had Mr. K. preferred not to take up re/employment he would have got the pensionary benefit

contd.....

1442/36
70115

E.B. (1). P. W. D.

Submitted for orders.

2. These papers contain a representation from Mr. C.G.Kale, late Chief Engineer., P.W.D., now re-employed as Technical Adviser to the Rehabilitation and Development Board under the Central Government, addressed to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Finance, regarding counting of a certain portion of the leave granted to him after termination of his services for pension. After full consideration, Mr. Kale was informed that his request for the concession of counting 6 months of his leave on average pay preparatory to retirement as duty for the purpose of pension could not be granted vide G.L. No. 1445/36-E(1), dated the 9-2-1948, and the notes underlying it. Attention is particularly invited to the orders of Govt. on page 215 of file No. 1445/36-I put up below, in which it was held that it would not be advisable to press this case to the Govt. of India. It is, accordingly, for orders whether Mr. Kale's representation may be forwarded to the G. of I. and if so, with what remarks.

3. In this connection, attention is invited to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Relief and Rehabilitation letter, dated the 14th 1948, and this Govt. reply thereto No.9253/36-E(I), dated the 9-9-1948, in which certain benefit of leave after termination of Mr. Kale's present appointment under the G. of I. was given to him.

Id/- P.R.O.
22-1-49.

As the previous decision seems to have been taken after full condideration of all the factors, The case does not require any reconsideration, in my opinion

Id/-
24-1-49

Mr. kale is eligible for the benefit of counting part of his privilege leave (i.e. 6 months) on average pay towards pension, according to Government of India(Finance Department) Circular No.F.44(29)-W/44, dated 15-6-1945, addressed to all Provincial Governments and offivers concerned. The history of Mr. Kale's case is given here below:- Secy. (R & B) Secy. F. D.

(1) Extension of his service as Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government, after the date of his superannuation, by 3 months and 10 days. (Ch. Secy., H.M. P.W.D, 4.p)

(2) Re-employment as a temporary Chief Engineer, P.W.D. on contract for 3 years with effect from 15th January 1946.

(3) He was allowed to avail himself of 28 month's leave (leave on average pay for 8 months combined with leave on half average pay for 20 months) at the termination of the agreement (entered into at the time of appointing him as a temporary Chief Engineer) or at the end of his service, if they were terminated earlier.

While forwarding the proposal about the concession (3), to Government of India my prodecessor Mr. Garred categorically informed Govenment of India that Mr. Kale will not derive any pensionary benefit from the post contract leave (vide please para. 2 of this Government letter No.1445/36-E(I) dated 13-1-1947). Had it not been for that statement in this letter Mr. Kale would have been entitled to this benefit for counting 6 months for the purpose of pension. On a previous representation made by Mr. Kale, this Government did not consider it advisable to press Mr. Kale's case to Government of India, and informed Mr. Kale that his request for counting 6 months of his leave for the purpose of pension could not be granted (vide Government Letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated 9-2-1948.

It is for orders whether the question should be reconsidered and the Government of India addressed in the matter.

Id/-
1-2-

The statement referred to at 'A' in p. 30 was not in the nature of an *ananthrise* interpretation by P.W.D. or by Mr. Garrod. It was passed on F.D., nothing on pp.163-164 of P.W.D. file 1445/36 I, later

later.....

asked for. Para. 2 of the P.W.D. Letter(No. 6 above) was unfortunate inasmuch as a categorical statement that Mr. Kale will not derive any pensionary benefit from the leave was made. We may take the view that, inspite of the apparent inconsistency, in equity, Mr. Kale's case ought to be supported to the Govt. of India.

4. Mr. Kale's pension must have already been sanctioned. Information as regards how his pension has been allocated between this Govt. and other Govts. concerned is not available in these papers. It will be clear however that it will not be possible to pass on a portion of the resulting increase in pension to other Govts. So far as Sind Govt. was concerned, it was already agreed that increase in pension to a Govt. servant resulting from any special concession should not be passed on by Bombay to Sind (and Vice versa) without the consent of the other Govt. Apart from the consideration that it willnow be impracticable to obtain the consent of Sind Govt. (which is now part of another Dominion) we have separately taken up the question of requesting Pakistan (Sind) to liquidate its liability by making a lump payment. The question of other Govts' consent to share a portion of Mr. Kale's increased pensinn need not therefore influence the decision to be reached on his present request.

Id/- V.D.G.
8-2-

F.A, Mr. Gangal on behalf of the C Branch and I have discussed this case and the result is embadied in the foregoing note.

Id/-
9-2-

Secy. Pl. see Secy. P.W.D.'s note on pp 30-31. The G. of I. may be addressed as proposed. proposal

Id/-
10-2

Id/-
18-2-49.

1445/36
70115

P.W.D.

E.B.(1)

Resubmitted with ref. to the orders of Govt. overpage.

2. The necy. draft is put up for approval.

3. With ref. to para. 4 of the F.D. note p. 35 ante, it may be stated that Mr. Kale's pension has not yet been sanctioned. It has been kept pending on the decision that will be arrived at on this case.

Id/- P.R.O.
5-3-49.

Id/-
5/3/

Id/-
7/3/

No.1445/36-E(I).

1445/36
70115

P. W. D.
B.C., 9th March 1949.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Ministery of Finance,
New Delhi.

Subject: Counting of a portion of the leave period of (Mr. C.G.Kale, Technical Adviser to the Rehabilitation and Development Board, Govt. of India), towards pension.

.....

Sir,

In forwarding herewith letter, dated the 10th/11th Decr. 1948, from Mr. C. G. Kale, Techni. Adviser to the Rehabilitation and Dev. Board, G. of I., and accepts. I am directed to invite your attention to para. 2 of this Govt.'s letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 13-1-1947, and to make the following remarks.

2 Mr. Kale was due to to superannuate on the 5-10-45. He had applied on the 6-1-45 for leave on average pay for 6 months from the 5-4-1945 preparatory to retirement. The above leave was, however, refused for administrative convenience and it was intended that in this refused leave should be made available to Mr. Kale at the end of his period of service, vide G.L., P.W.D., No.S.90/1/3761-E(I), dated the 12-3-1945 (copy enclosed). The Secy. of State had in the meantime sanctioned an extension of service of Mr. Kale upto the 14-1-1946, vide letter No.30/127/45-G.G.(A), dated the 21-1-1946, from the Secy. to the Govr. General (Public), copy enclosed.

3. Mr. Kale was subsequently re-employed as tempy. Ch. Engr. for post War Work with effect from the 15-1-1946 a contract basis for a period of 3 years. In clise (10)b of the agreement which was entered into with him in this connection, it was x stipulated inter alia that the leave for 6 months which was refused to him in March 1945 should be made admissible to him at the end of his contract period along with such other leave as might have.....

have been admissible to him. An extract of clause (10)b from the agreement is enclosed for ready reference.

4. The Secy. of State had in the meantime sanctioned concessions in respect of leave preparatory to retirement in the case of officers subject to his rule-making control. Mr. Kale's case was governed by the rules promulgated by the S. of S. and he was entitled on that account to combined leave for 28 months. As explained in para. 3 above, Mr. Kale having been reemployed as a tempy. Ch.Engr. for Post-War Work with effect from the 15-1-1945 it was agreed that this 28 months' leave should be made available to Mr. Kale after he finally leaves Govt. Service. Mr. Kale having been now re-employed under the Central Govt. as Technical Adviser it was subsequently decided by this Govt. that the period of Mr. Kale's service under the Central Govt. should be deducted from the period of leave on half av. pay, that stood to his credit on the date of his joining service under that Govt., and that the balance of the leave on half Av. pay coupled with three months and twentysix days' leave on av. pay shd. be allowed to Mr. Kale on the expiry of the period of service under the Central Govt., vide this G.I. No.9253/36-E(I), dated the 9-9-1948 (copy enclosed).

5. The 28 months' combined leave which was admissible to Mr. Kale in 1945 included the 6 months' leave which was refused to him in March 1945 while he was a member of the Indian Service of Engrs. In para. 2 of this Govt.'s letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 13-1-1947, it was stated that Mr. Kale will not derive any pensionary benefit from the post contract period. This statement requires reconsideration in view of the position explained in paras. 3 and 4 above.

6. Mr. Kale has completed 1 year, 10 months and 15 days of service in an administrative capacity and this service falls short of 2 years by 1 month and 15 days only. In his letter No.119/46-E, dated the 28-1-47, Mr. Kale has explained

contu.....

that, if the leave which was ~~in~~ refused to him would not be allowed to be treated for purposes of pension, he would be put to a pecuniary loss to the extent of Rs. 500 per year. This Govt. considers Mr. Kale's claim for treating a portion of his refused leave for special additional pension to be reasonable and fully justified and in keeping with the promise given to Mr. Kale . This Govt., accordingly, recommends that the G. of I. may kindly be moved to permit Mr. Kale to count a protion of his refused leave towards pension so as to complete 2 years of duty in an admve. capacity to enable him to the benefit of special additional pension for two years admissible to a Superintending Engr. in the P.W.D.

Yours faithfully,

Copy forwarded to:-

the P. & S. D.,
the F. D.,
the A. G., Bombay (thro. the F.D.),

for information.

D.A.- 1. Copy of G.L. No. S. 90/1/3761-E(I), dated the 12-3-45.
2. Copy of letter No. 30/127/45-G.G.(A), dated from the Secy. to the Govr. Genl.(Public).
3. Extract of clause 10 (b) from the agreement of Mr. Kale.
4. Copy of this G.L.No. 9253/36-E(I), dated the 9-9-48.

Id/- P.R.O.
5-3-49

Id/-
5-3-49

Id/-
7-3-

ANP
30-4

No.1445/36-E(I). Public Works Department, Bombay Castle, 9th March 1949.

From

B.S.Vyas, Esquire, B.E.,M.I.E.(Ind.),I.S.E.,
Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department (Roads and Buildings).

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave period of Mr. C.G.Kale, Technical Adviser to the Rehabilitation and Development Board, Government of India, towards pension.

.....

Sir,

In forwarding herewith letter, dated the 10th/11th December 1948, from Mr. C.G.Kale, Technical Adviser to the Rehabilitation and Development Board, Government of India, and accompaniments, I am directed to invite your attention to paragraph 2 of this Government's letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 13th January 1947, and to make the following remarks.

2. Mr. Kale was due to superannuate on the 5th October 1945. He had applied on the 6th January 1945 for leave on average pay for 6 months from the 5th April 1945 preparatory to retirement. The above leave was, however, refused for administrative convenience and it was intended that this refused leave should be made available to Mr. Kale at the end of his period of service, vide Government letter, Public Works Department, No.S.90/1/3761-E(I), dated the 12th March 1945 (copy enclosed). The Secretary of State had in the meantime sanctioned an extension of service of Mr. Kale upto the 14th January 1946, vide letter No.30/127/45-G.G.(A) dated the 21st January 1946, from the Secretay to the Governor General (Public), copy enclosed.

3. Mr. Kale was subsequently re-employed as temporary Chief Engineer for Post War Work with effect from the 15th January 1946 on a contract basis for a period of 3 years. In clause 10(b) of the agreement which was entered into with him in this connection, it was stipulated inter alia that the leave for 6 months which was refused to him in March 1945 should be made admissible to him at the end of his contract period along with such other leave as might

have .....

have been admissible to him. An extract of clause 10(b) from the agreement is enclosed for ready reference.

4. The Secretary of State had in the meantime sanctioned concession in respect of leave preparatory to retirement in the case of officers subject to his rule-making control. Mr. Kale's case was governed by the rules promulgated by the Secretary of State and he was entitled on that account to combined leave for 28 months. As explained in paragraph 3 above, Mr. Kale having been re-employed as a temporary Chief Engineer for Post-War Work with effect from the 15th January 1946, it was agreed that his 28 months leave should be made available to Mr. Kale after he finally leaves Government Service. Mr. Kale having been now re employed under the Central Government as Technical Adviser it was subsequently decided by this Government that the period of Mr. Kale's service under the Central Govt. should be deducted from the period of leave on half average pay that stood to his credit on the date of his joining service under that Government and that the balance of the leave on half average pay coupled with, three months and twenty-six days' leave on average pay should be allowed to Mr. Kale on the expiry of the period of service under the Central Government, vide this Government Letter No.9253/36-E(I), dated the 9th September 1948 (copy enclosed).

5. The 28 months' combined leave which was admissible to Mr. Kale in 1945 included the 6 months' leave which was refused to him in March 1945 while he was a member of the India Service of Engineers. In paragraph 2 of this Government's Letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 13th January 1947, it was stated that Mr. Kale will not derive any pensionary benefit from the post contract period. This statement requires reconsideration in view of the position explained in paragraph 3 and 4 above.

6. Mr. Kale has completed 1 year, 10 months and 15 days of servide in an administrative capacity and this service falls short of 2 years by 1 month and 15 days only. In his

letter.....

letter No.119/46-E, dated the 28th January 1947, Mr. Kale has explained that, if the leave which was refused to him would not be allowed to be treated for purposes of pension, he would be put to a pecuniary loss to the extent of Rs. 500 per year. This Government considers Mr. Kale's claim for treating a portion of his refused leave for special additional pension to be reasonable and fully justifued and in keeping with the promise given to Mr. Kale. This Government, accordingly, recommends that the Government of India may kindly be moved to permit Mr. Kale to count a portion of his refused leave towards pension so as to complete 2 years of duty in an administrative capacity to enable him to the benefit of special additional pension for two years admissible to a superintending Engineer in the Public Works Department.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

Secretary to Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

1.-1. Copy of G.L.No.S.90/1/3761-E(I), dated the 12-3-1945.
2. Copy of letter No.30/127/45-G.G.(A), dated the 21-1-1946 from the secy. to the Govr, Genl. (Public).
3. Extract of clause 10(b) from the agreement of Mr. Kale.
4. Copy of this G.L.No.9253/36-E(I), dated the 9-9-48.

Copy forwarded to-

The Political and Services Department,
The Finance Department,
The Accountant General, Bombay(thro. the F.D.

for information

ANP
30-3.

Kotah House, New Delhi.
26th February 1949.

From

C.G.Kale,, I. S. E. ( on leave )
Technical Adviser, M.O.R. and R,
Government of India, New Delhi.

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.

Subject:- Leave and Pension case of Mr. C.G.Kale.

Sir,

I am to invite reference to my letter dated the 11th December 1948 on the above subject, submitted through the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, and request for early disposal.

2. As I am to leave Delhi in a few days I shall be grateful if I am addressed on the following address hereafter:-

"Swagat" Poona-4

Yours faithfully,

copy forwarded to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay for favour of forwarding my case if not already done.

C. G. Kale.
26-2-49.

.....

P. W. D.

Record on 4-3-49 returned to P. & S. D. for sending to this Deptt. in the appropriate manner.

Id/-
4-3-49
S.A.S,, P.W.D.

.....

P. & S. D. (F.B.)

The reference from Mr. Kale may be passed on u.o. to the P.W,D, for disposal.

Id/-
7-3-49

Id/-
8-3-49

to P.W.D, dated 8-3-49.

ANP
30-4.

"Sawagat" Poona. 4
17th May 1949.

From
C.G.Kale, I. S. E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,(on leave)

To
The Accountant General,
Bombay.

Subject:- Pension case of Mr. C.G.Kale

Sir,

I thank you for your letter No. P.R./1342 dated the 14th inst. intimating that Govt of Bombay have sent my case to the Govt. On India for orders in regard to Special Additional Pension and that you would announce a Provisional Pension.

2. The Chief point to be decided in my case is the date of my Retirement, as different views are held at different times, as may suit the point at issue. This decision will decide (i) the amount of pension due to me and (ii) the possibility of my commiting a part of my pension peior to February 1950. I would request you kindly to get this fixed up in the light of the following points:-

(a) In the Govt. of Bombay's Notification P.S.D. (P.W.D.) No. S-90-1/41208 dated 20th Oct. 1947, issued after the termination of my Contract Service, it is clearly stated that I retire from Govt Serice at the end of the 28th months' leave that is in February 1950. I supplied a copy of this Notification to your Office on 16th inst.

(b) In your letter dated 17-3-48 you intimated to the Ministry of Finance G.O.I.(R and R) that I had retired from Service on 15-1-46. On the strength of this information, conveyed after the Govt. Notification sanctioning my leave, the M.O.Finance refused to entertain my request to consider my Duty Period under the G.O.I. for pension nearly 12 months.

(c) In their letter RH-527(2) dated the 14th April 1948, appointing me Technical Adviser the R. and B. Minsry. wished me to be recalled from leave and the G.O.I. undertook to accept liability towards Leave and Pension, in respect of my service under them. If I retire in February 1950 G.O.I. may

have.....

have to pay towards additional Pension. I attach extract copy of my Appointment Order.

3. I am thus somewhat confused and do not know if I am retired or in service. I draw Leave Salary but you insist on regarding a part of it as Pension, which will be adjusted in due course. I shall, therefore, be glad if the position is clarified once for all, so that I can get my dues properly at your hands.

I need hardly remind you that I am on New Leave Rules and New Pension Rules.

Yours faithfully,

1 Extract.

Sd/- C. G. Kale
17-5

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to Govt. P.W.D. Bombay for information, with reference to Notification noted in para 2(a) above.

1445/36
33584

P. W. D.

E.B.(I).

Submitted for information.

2. Further developments, if any, may be awaited.

Id/- P.R.V.
4-6-49.

Id/-
6-49.

F.D.523/33/12991
24351

Finance Deptt.
C. Br.

Confirm and file in C

Id/-
11/3/49.

After issue, the P.W.D. may kindly show us the papers undergoing so far as I remember it was intended to allow Mr. Kale to count service

(i) for six months for ordinary pension and

(ii) for four months for spl. addl. pension.

This letter does not clearly indicate what pensionary advantage is proposed to be given to Mr. Kale so far as his ordinary pension is concerned.

Id/-
11/3

U.O.R. to P.W.D. dated the 17-3-49.

E.B.(I). P. W. D.

The papers (file No.1445/36) 17102 underlying G.L.No. 1445/36-E(I), dt. the 9-3-49, together with the relevant pps. may be sent ot the F.D. as desired by that Dept. They may, please, be returned as soon as done with.

Id/- P.R.V.
22/3/49.

Id/- K.V.Joglekar,
22/3/39

*U.O.R. to the F.D. dt. the 22-3-49.

Fin - Dept.

Submitted with ref. the A.S.(S) note dt. 11-3-49 on pre-page.

2. The position re: the benefits proposed to be given to Mr. Kale stated in the A.S.(S)' note refd. to, is borne out by the F.D. note dt. 8-2-49 at pp. 33-35 of the P.W.D. papers No.1445/36/17102. It is not clear why the point re: continue of six months leave for ordinary pension has not been clearly stated in the attached P.W.D. letter addressed to the Govt. of India. Perhaps it is through oversight if so the P.W.D. may see and rectify.

Id.
6/4/49.

*with P.W.D.files
(1)No.1445/36 17102
(2)Prool.file No. 1445/36
(3)S.90-1 638
(4)No.9253/36
(5)No.8936/36

U S (S) may see before PWD is advised as above-

Id/-
7/4

*U.O.R. to the P.W.D. dt. 8-4-49. *with all F.Ps.

.....

F.D.523/33/12991
24851

F.B.(I). - P. W. D.

Pl. see para 2 of the A.G.'s u.o.r. No. P.R./9285, dt. the 14-12-48, wherein it has been stated that for purpose of ordinary pension Mr. C.G.Kale has to his credit a qulfifying service of 28 years, 4 month and 21 days only. If six months are added to this, the total qualifying service of Mr. Kale for ordinary pension works out to 28 years 10months and 21 days only- As only completed years of sertive are taken into account for calculating pension, the addition of six months does not alter the amount of pension (Rs.6,600 per annum) admissible to him as already reported by the A.G. It was not, therefore, necy. to make this position clear although it is implied in the notes.

2. The papers may be returned to the F.D., through the A.G., Bombay, with this note.

Id/- P.R.V.
20/4/49

Id/- K.V.(Joglekar).
20/4/49.

*With P.W.D.files
(1)No.8936/36
(2)No.1445/36 (Prool)
(3)No.S.90-1/638
(4)No.9253/36

*U.O.R. to the A.G., Bombay (in succession) dt. 21-4-49 F.D.

.....
.....

A.G.'s Office

Below. U.O.R. No. 54, Dt. 21-4-49-1249 from the Secy. to Govt. of Bombay, P. W. D.

Returned with compliments.

The Position explained at 'A' in P.W.D. Note dt. the 20-1-49 is confirmed. The addition of six months to the qualifying service of Mr. C.G.Kale will not have the effect of increasing the amount of ordinary pension, otherwise admissible to him.

Id/-
14-5. A.A.O

ANP
30-4

E.M.(I). P. W. D.

Submitted with ref. to this office note, dt. the 20-4-49

2. The A.G. should have returned these papers to the F.D. They may now be sent to that Deptt.

3. The F.D. may be requested kindly to return this Deptt. files refd. to within as soon as possible.

Id. P.R.V.
18/5/49

Id/- K.V.J(oglekar),
18/5/49

*U.O.R. to the F.D. dt. 23rd May 1949.

with P.W.D.files
(1)No.8936/36
(2)No.1445/36(Prool)
(3)No.S.90-1
638
(4)No.9253/36

Issued
23/5

ANP
30-4

Finance Department,
Bombay Castle 31st May 1949.

No. 523/33.
12991

Memorandum

Public Works Department files noted in the margin, received with that Department unofficial ~~in~~ reference dated the 23rd May 1949, are returned to that Department as they are no longer ~~xxxx~~ returned.

P.W.D.files Nos.
i) 8936/36
ii) 1445/36(Prool)
iii) S.90-1
638
) 9253/36

Sd/- V. D. Gangal.
Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay.
Finance Department.

.....

1445/36
361171

P. W. D.

E.B.(I)

The files ~~mentioned~~ mentioned overleaf have been received.

Id/- P/R,V.
2-6-49

~~Awaited~~

Id/-
2-6-49

E(1) 1/6.

ANN
30-4

E.B.(I). 1445/36

P. W. D.

Submitted.

2. Pl. see para 6 of G.L., No.1445/36-E(I) dated the 9-3-48. The Govt. of India, Ministry of Finance may be expendited in the matter as per draft ~~thereto~~ put up for approval.

Id/-
20-6-49

Id/-
20-6-49

E. B. (I). 1445/36-E(I).

P. W. D.

Submitted.

2. Pl. see para. 6 of G.L., No. 1445/36-E(I), dated the 9-3-48. The Govt. India, Ministry of Finance, may be expedited in the matter as per draft put up for approval.

Id/-
20-6-49

Id/-
20-6-49

No.1445/36-E(I)

P.W.D.
B.C., 21st June 1949.

To

The Secy.to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Finance,
New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave period of Mr. C.G.Kale, late Technical Adviser to the Rehabilatation and Development Board, Govt. of Bombay, towards pension.

Sir,

I am directed to invite ref. to this Govt. letter. No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 9-3-49, an the subject mentioned above, and to request the favour on an early reply thereto.

Id/-
20-6-49

D.A.- Nil.

Yours faithfully,

Id/-
20-6-49

1445/36
36171

No.1445/36-E(I)

P.W.D.
B.C., 26th August 1949.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Finance,
New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave period of Mr. C.G.Kale, late Technical Adviser to the Rehabilatation and Development Board, Govt. of India, towards pension.

Sir,

I am directed to invite ref. to this Letter, No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 9-3-49, and the subsequent reminder dated the 21-6-49, on the subject mentioned above, and to request you kindly to expedite. the matter.

Id/-
25-8-49

Id/-
20-8-49

Yours faithfully,

D.A.- Nil.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
Ministry of Works, Mines and Power

No. 4763-EII/49. Dated, New Delhi the 19th Sept. 1949.

From

C.S.Edward Esquire,
Assistant Secretary to the Government of India,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave period of Mr. C.G.Kale, I.S.E.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 26th August 1949, on the above subject, I am directed to say that the proposal referred to is still under the considera/tion of the Government of India and orders will be issued as soon as possible.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- C.S.Edward.
Assistant Secretary to the Government of India.

.....

1445/36/62336

ANP
30-4

E.B.(I) P.W.D.

Awaited.

Id/-
27-9-49

Id/-
28-9-49

E.B.(I). P.W.D.

Resubmitted with a draft for approval.

Id/- E.T.O.
30-11-49

Id/-
19-9-49

1445/36/62336

No.1445/36-E(I) P. W. D.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Finance,
New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave period of Mr. C.G.Kale, late Technical Adviser to the Rehabilitation and Development Board, Govt. of India, towards pension.

---

Sir,

With ref. to the corres. ending with your letter No.4763-EII/49, dated the 19-9-49, on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to request you kindly to expedite issue of the orders in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

Id/- K.T.Q.
30-11-49.

Id/-
30/11/49

D.A:- Nil.

---

No.1445/36-E(I). Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle,(Bombay No. 1) 1st Dec. 1949.

From

K.V.Joglekar, Esquire, B.E., B.S.E.(I),
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Finance,
New Delhi.

Subject:- Couting of a portion of the leave period of Mr. C.G.Kale, late Technical Adviser to the Rehabilitation and Development Board, Government of India, towards pension.

---

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. M 4763-EII/49, dated the 19th September 1949, on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to request you kindly to expedite issue of the orders in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Secretary to the Government of ~~India~~ Bombay,
Public Works Department.

D.A:- Nil.

No.1445/36-E(I)

1445/36
62336

P. W. D.,
B.C.(Bombay No.1),
18th Jany. 1950

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India
Ministry of Finance,
New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave peiod of Mr. C.G.Kale, late Technical Adviser to the Rehabilitation and Development Board, Govt. of India., towards pension.

Sir,

I am directed to invite refe. to this G.L. No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 9-3-49 and subsquently two reminders dated the 21-6-49 and the 1-12-49, on the subject mentioned above, and to request you kindly to expedite issue of the orders in the matter.

Id/-
17-9-49

Yours faithfully,

Id/-
17-1-50

D. A:- Nil.

Issued
20-1-50
Id/-

---

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
Ministry of Works Mines and Power,

No.761-EII/50. Dated,New Delhi the 11th Feb. 50.

From

Shri C.S.Edward,
Assistant Secretary to the Govt. of India,

To

The Secty to the Govt. of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
(Roads and Buidding),
Bombay,

Subject:- Counting towards pension of a portion of the extended leave preparatory to retirement sanctioned for Mr. C.G.Kale, I.S.E.

D.A:- Nil

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 18th January 1950, on the above subject, I am directed tosay that the matter is still under consideration and orders will be issued as soon as possible.

AMP.
1/5/56

Yours faithfully,

Assistant Secretary to the Govt. of India.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF WORKS, MINES AND POWER

No. 761-EII/50. Dated New Delhi the 11th Feb 195(

From

Shri. C.S.Edward,
Assistant Secretary to the Government of India,

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Bombay, Public Works Department,
(Roads and Buildings),

Sub:- Counting towards pension of a portion of the extended leave preparatory to retirement sanctioned for Mr. C.G.Kale, ISE.

---

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 1445/36-E(I), dated the 18th January 1950, on the above subject, I am directed to say that the matter is still under consideration and orders will be issued soon as possible.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- C.S.Edward.

Assistant Secretary to the Government of India.

D.A:-Nil.

ANP.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
Ministry of Works, Mines and Power.
**********

No.622(3)/50. Dated. New Delhi the 9th March 1950.

From

Shri C.S.Edward,
Assistant Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay,
Public Works Department(Roads and Buld),
Bombay.

Subject:- Counting towards pension of a protion of the extended leave preparatory to retirement sanctioned for Mr. C.G.Kale, I.S.E.

Sir,

In continuation of this Ministry's letter No.761-EII/50 dated the 11th February, 1950, I am directed to say that Mr. Kale has since written to this Ministry requesting that early orders may be passed in his case. The Government of India are not sure whether the Bombay Government have granted any provisional pension to Mr. Kale, pending settlement of the qustion whether the peiod of leave granted to him after his retirement should count towards pension. As this question hs not yet been difinitely settled, I am to request that a provisional pension may kindly be granted to Mr. Kale, if it has not been done already.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- C.S.Edward.

Assistant Secy. to the Govt. of India.

D.A:- Nil.

D. S. Id/-
10/3/50

---

1445/36
19948

E.B.(I) P. M. D.

The A.G.Bombay is being requested separately to sanction the provisional pension to Mr. C.G.Kale, late C.E., P.W.D.

Id/- Awaited -----

Id/-
16/3/50

---

E.B.(I)

Resubmitted with a draft, for approval.
Id/- 20/4/50 Id/- 20/4/

1445/36
1948

No.1445/36-E(I)

P. W. D.
B.C.(Bombay No.1),
22nd April 1950.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Works, Mines and Power
New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting of a pension of the leave period of Shri. C.G.Kale, C.E., P.W.D.(Retired), towards pension.

Sir,

With ref. to the correspondance ending with your letter No. 622(3)/50, dated the 9-3-50, on the above subject, I am directed to request you kindly to expedite issue of the orders in the matter. I am to add that a provisional pension of Rs. 550 p.m. has since been granted to Shri. C.G.Kale, C.E., P.W.D. (Retired).

Yours faithfully,

Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

D.A:- Nil.

Id/-
20/4/50

ANP.
1/5/

No. 1445/36-E(I).

1445/36
1948

P. W. D.
B.C.(Bombay No.1)
22nd April 1950.

To

The Secy. to the Goveenment of India,
Ministry of Works, Mines and Power,
New Delhi.

Sub:- Counting of a portion of the leave period of Shri. C.G.Kale., C.E., P.W.D.,(retired). towards pension.

Sir,

With ref. to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 622 (3)/50, dated the 9-3-50, on the above subject, I am directed to request you kindly to expedite issue of the orders in the matter. I am to add that provisional pension of Rs. 550 p. m. has since been granted to Shri. C.G.Kale, C.E., P.W.D. (retired).

Yours faithfully,

Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay,
P. W. D.

Id/-
20-4-50

D.A:-Nil.

No.1445/36-E(I). Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No. 1),
22nd April 1950.

From

Shri K.V.Joglekar, B.E.,B.S.E.(I),
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Works, Mines and Power,
New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave peirod of Shri C.G.Kale, Chief Engineer, Public Works Department (retired), towards pension.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.622(3)/50, dated the 9th March 1950, on the above subject, I am directed to request you kindly to expedite issue of the orders in the matter, I am to add that a provisional pension of Rs. 550/- per mensem has since been granted to Shri C.G.Kale, Chrif Engineer, Public Works Department(retired).

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department.

D.A:- Nil.

---

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
Ministry of Works, Mines and Power.

No. E22(3). Dated. New Delhi, the 4th May 1950.

From

Shri C.S.Edwards,
Assist. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
(Roads and Buidlings),
Bombay.

Subject:- Counting, towards pension, of a portion of the extended leave preparatory to retirement sanctioned for Mr. C.G.Kale, I.S.E.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 9th March 1949, on the above subject, and to say that the President regrets his inability to accept the proposal

of.....

of the State Government, contained in paragraph 6 of your letter referred to above.

Yours faithfully,

. . . . . .

D.A:-Nil.

Sd/- C.S.Edward.
Assitt. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Secy. Id/-
5/5/

D.S. Id/-
6/5/50

~~1445/36~~
36351

E.B.(I) P. W. D.

Copy may be sent to C.S.

Issued
Id/-
10/5/

Id/- P.R.V.
9/5/50

Id/-
9/5/50

ANP
1/5/

1445/36/36351.

E.B.(I). P. W. D.

Submitted with ref. to our G.O. No. 1445/36-E(I), dated the 9-3-49, and the notes underlying it.

2. The draft put up may issue.

Id/- E. T. O.
18/5/50

Id/- P.R.V.
19/5/50

Id/-
19/5/50

Id/-
19/5/

u.o.r. to the F.D. dated the 21/5/50

Fin. Deptt.
C.

Please see -

(i) F.D. notes ending with Secy. F.D's. note Dt. 10-2-1949 on p. 33-35 ante.

(ii) G.L. P.W.D. dt. 9th March 1949 on p. 41 ante.

(iii) G. of I. letter dt. 4-5-1950 on p. 79 ante.

2. Presumably P.W.D. does not consider it necessary to inform the Govt. of India that their concurrence is the proposal made by this Govt. does not increase the pensionary liability of the Govt of India, the Additional expenditure will be borne by the State Govt. With these remarks the papers may be seen and returned to the P.W.D.

Id/- H.P.K.
23/5/50

Id/-
24/5/

Id/-
24/5

u.o.r. to P.W.D. dt. 25/5/1950

E.B.(I) P. W. D.

Submitted with ref. to this deptt. u.o.r.., dated the 21-5-50

2. Pl. see the presumption made by the F.D. If that presumption is correct, then the draft at pp. 83-84 ~~xxx~~ post may issue.

Id/- E.T.O.
1/6/50

Id/-
1/6/50

We may ask the Govt. of India to reconsider, on the mentioning the points made by F.D.

Id/-
2/6/

---

1445/36/36351

No. 1445/36-E(I)

P. W. D.
B.C.(Bombay No.1)
May 1950

To

Shri C.G.Kale, B.A.,B.Sc., B.E.
"Swagat"
Poona - 4.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave period towards pension.

Dear Sir,

With ref. to your letter dated the 10th/11th Decr. 1948, addressed to the Secy. to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Finance, requesting that a portion of the leave, which was refused to you, be counted towards pension, I am directed to inform you that the Govt. of India have replied that the president of the Indian Union regrets his inability to accede to your request.

D. A:- Nil.

Yours faithfully,

Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay.,
P. W. D,

copy with Govt. of India, Ministry of Works, Mines and Power letter No. E-22(3), dt. the 4/5/50, forwarded to:-

the P. & S. D.,
the F. D.,
the A. G., Bombay,
for information with ref. to this Deptt. letter No.1445/36-E(I), dt. 9-3-49

ANP.
1/5/

1445/36/42350

E.B.(I) P. W. D.

Resubmitted with ref. to secy's note, dated the 2-6-50, at p. 82 ante.

2. The draft put up may issue, if approved.

Id/- E.T.O.
3-6-50.

Id/-
3-6-50

Id/-
5-6

---

1445/36/42350.

No.1445/36-E(I). P. W. D.
B.C. (B'bay No. 1),
6th June 1950.

To

The Secy to the Govt. of India,
Ministry ~~f~~ of Finance,
New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting towards pension of a portion of the extended leave preparatory to retirement sanctioned for Shri. C.G.Kale. I.S.E.(retired).

Sir,

With ref. to the corresp. ending with your letter No. E.22(3), dated the 4-5-50, I am directed to state that concurrence of the Govt. of India in the proposal of this Govt. ~~contained~~ contained in para 6 of its letter No. 1445/36-E(I), dated the 9-3-49, would not increase the pensionary liability of the Govt. of India or any other Govt., since the addl. expenditure involved will be borne entirely by this Govt. In view of this fact and the considerations set out in para 6 of this Govt. letter referred above. I am directed to request you kindly to move the President to reconsider the matter and to communicate his acceptance of the proposal.

Yours faithfully,

D.A:- Nil.

Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay,
P. W. D.

Id/-
3-6-50

No. 1445/36-E(I).

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No. 1),
6th June 1950.

From

Shri. G. V. Bedekar, I.C.S.,
Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Finance,
New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting towards pension, a portion of the extended leave preparatory to retirement sanctioned for Shri C.G.Kale, I.S.E.(retired).

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. E.22(3), dated the 4th May 1950, I am directed to state that concurrence of the Government of India in the proposal of this Government contained in paragraph 6 of its letter No. 1445/36-E(I), dated the 9th March 1949, would not increase the pensionary liability of the Government of India or any other Government, since the additional expenditure involved will be borne entirely by this Government. In view of this fact and the considerations set out in paragraph 6 of this Government letter referred to above, I am directed to request you kindly to move the President to consider the matter and to communicate his acceptance of the proposal.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- G. V. Bedekar,
Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

Issued
Id/-
6-6-

D.A:- Nil.

---

1445/46
42350

E.B.(I)

P. W. D.

Resubmitted with ref. to Govt. letter No. 1445/36-E(I), dt. the 6-6-50.

2. The Govt. of India may be expedited as per draft put up for appl.

ANP
1/5/

Id/-
31-7-50

Id/-
1-7-50

No. 1445/36-E(I)

P. W. D.
B.C.(Bombay No. 1)
1st August 1950.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India.,
Ministry of Finance,
New Delhi.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave period of Shri C.G.Kale. I.S.E.(retired), towards pension.

Sir,

I am directed to invite ref. of the Govt. letter No. 1445/36-E(I), dated the 6-6-50, on the subject mentioned above, and to request you kindly to expedite issue of the orders in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

D.A:- Nil.

Dy. Secy. to the Govt. Bombay.,
P. W. D.

Issued
Id/-
3-8-50

Id/-
31-7-50

---

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF WORKS, MINES AND POWER
.....

No. E.22(3). Dated New Delhi, the 7th Aug. 1950.

From

Shri C.S.Edward,
Assitt. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Counting, towards pension, of a portion of the extended leave preparatory to retirement sanctioned for Mr. C.G.Kale, I.S.E.

Sir,

With reference to your letter to the Government of India, Ministry of Finance No. 1445/36-E(I), dated the 6th June 1950, on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to say that the President has carefully reconsidered the matter, but regrets his inability to revise the decision conveyed in this Ministry's letter No.E.22(3), dated the 4th May 1950. I am to add that this decision has been arrived

at.....

at on the merits of the case and cannot be influenced by the consideration that the additional expenditure involved in the proposal would be a charge on the State Government and not on the Government of India.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- C. S. Edward
Assitt. Secy. to the Govt. of India

D.A:- Nil.

---

1445/36
6954

E.B.(I). P. W. D.

Submitted with ref. to G.L.No. 1445/36-E(I), dt. the 6-6-50, and the Secy.'s note underlying it.

2. In view of the Govt. of India letter under disposal, the draft put up may issue after u.o.r. to the F.D.

Id/-
17-8-50

Id/-
19-8

Id/-
21-8

U.O.R. to F.D. dated the 22-8-50.

Finance Deptt.
E. Br.

Pl. see

(i) F.D. note dated 24-5-50.

(ii) G.L. P.W.D. No. 1445/36-E(I), dated 6-6-50 on page 89 ante, and

(iii) The Govt. of India's letter dated 7-8-50 at p. 93 ante.

2. The pps may be seen and returned to u.o. to P.W.D.

E.B.I.
29-8-

Id/-
25-8-50

Id/-
25-8-

U.O.R. to P.W.D. dt/ 25-8-50

ANP.
1-5-

1445/36
64954

No.1445/36-E(I).

P. W. Deptt.,
B. C. (Bombay No. 1),
4th Sept. 1950.

To

Shri. C.G.Kale, B.A.,B.Sc.,B.E.,
"Swagat", Poona - 4.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave period towards pension.

Dear Sir,

With ref. to your letter dt. the 10th/11th Dec. 1948, addressed to the Secy. to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Finance, requesting that a portion of the leave, that was refused to you, be counted towards pension, I am directed to inform you that the Govt. of India have replied that the President of India regrets his inability to accede to your request.

Yours faithfully,

D.A.-Nil.

Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay,
Public Works Deptt.

---

Copy, with a copy of Govt. of India, Ministry of Works, Mines and Power, letter No.E.22(3), dt. the 4-5-50, forwarded to -

the P. & S. D.,
the Finance Deptt.,
the A.G., Bombay,

for information with ref. to Govt. Endt. No. 1445/36-E(I), dt. the 9-3-49.

Id/-
17-8-50

---

No.D.5395-EV/50
Government of India
Ministry of Finance.

New Delhi, the 17th August, 1950.

From

R.S.Krishnan, Esquire.,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No. 1).

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the period of leave of Shri C.G.Kale, I.S.E.(retired) towards pension.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 1st August, 1950, on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to say that a reply to your letter No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 6th June, 1950, has since been sent in the Government of India, Ministry of Works, Mines and Power, letter No.E.22(3), dated the 7th August, 1950.

Yours faithfully,

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Id/-
14-8

---

1445/36
68254, 70945
E.B.(1) P. W. D.

The Govt. of India letter dated the 7-8-50 refd. to was recd. in the Deptt., and the papers have been refd. u.o. to the F.D. on the 21-8-50. This will be kept with the main papers on their return.

Id/-
25-8-50

Id/-
25-8-50

---

E.B.(I)

The main papers refd. to above have since been recd. back from the F.D. and are arranged within.

2. The draft at p. 97 ante, may now issue.

Id/-
30-8-50

Id/-
1-9-50

No.1445/36-E(I).

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No. 1),
4th September 1950.

From

The Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

To

Shri C.G.Kale, B.A.,B.Sc.,B.E.,
"Swagat", Poona - 4.

Subject:- Counting of a portion of the leave period towards pension.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 10th/11th December 1948, addressed to the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, requesting that a portion of the leave, that was refused to you, be counted towards pension, I am directed to inform you that the Government of India have replied that the President of India regrets his inability to accede to your request.

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Bom.
Public Works Department.

D.A.-Nil.

---

Copy, with a copy of Government of India, Ministry of Works, Mines and Power, letter No. E.22(3), dated the 4th May 1950, forwarded to-

the Political and Services Department,
the Finance Department,
the Accountant General, Bombay,

for information with reference to Government Endorsement No.1445/36-E(I), dated the 9th March 1949.

ANP.
1-5-